Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/532,041	SCHUTZE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	JAMES D. ANDERSON	1614		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request	
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 		in the final rejection, whi	chever is later. In	
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of the chartened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			1 02 02 1/1	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	naca below of appended.			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,6-9,15 and 21</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and				
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	- Nation of Appendix to the contracts the	ala (a. a.C.C.II.a. a. a. la ai a.C.	20 (b	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>				
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: <u>Please see attached Interview Summary</u> .				
/Ardin Marschel/	/James D Anderson/			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614	Examiner, Art Unit 1614			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully and carefully considered but are not persuasive to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection(s) of record. The prior art teaches, suggests, and motivates one skilled in the art to formulate a composition comprising a PPI such as tenatoprazole and a NSAID or COX-2 inhibitor. The evidence of record does not provide a sufficient showing that the claimed PPI, tenatoprazole, in combination with the claimed NSAIDs or COX-2 inhibitors exhibits unexpected properties with regard to inhibiting gastric motility as suggested by the cited prior art. Furthermore, there is no evidence of record demonstrating the superiority of tenatoprazole in comparison to other PPIs that are suggested by the prior art.